



Journal homepage: www.cae.sciencearchives.org

(ISSN:2583-1151)



Livelihood security in climate change by Agro forestry

Aabid Ahmad¹, Mohd Nayeem Ali^{1*}, Mukul¹ and Sunil Kumar Shroti²

¹Department of Agriculture, Shri Ram College, Muzaffarnagar, U.P., India

²Department of Agriculture, R.K. (PG) College Shamli, U.P., India

Abstract

The present study is an attempt to review the global agro forestry system. Agro forestry has enormous potential to prevent climate change, safeguard people and livelihoods, and lay the groundwork for more sustainable economic and social growth. Agro forestry continues to play an important role in illuminating the agricultural sector's competitive position. The primary problem for improving output in agro forestry systems is rational resource utilization by maximizing positive interactions and reducing negative ones. Climate change, which is produced by global warming, is a phenomena induced in part by an excess of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. Adaptation strategies that encourage sustainable management and community-based practices have the potential not only to protect land and people from some of the negative effects of rising global temperatures, but also to provide opportunities for greater, more sustainable rural development and poverty reduction. The dominance of many traditional agro forestry systems in India provides an opportunity worth examining for carbon sequestration, improved livelihoods, biodiversity protection, soil fertility improvements, and rural employment.

Keywords: livelihoods, climate change, sustainable management, Agro forestry .

Introduction

Agro forestry refers to land-use systems and methods that intentionally mix woody perennials with crops and/or animals on the same land management unit. The trees may be planted alone or in groups within parcels (silvoarable agro forestry, silvopastoralism, grazed or intercropped orchards) or on parcel boundaries (hedges, tree lines) (EURAF 2012). Climate change is a shift in the long-term weather patterns that define different parts of the planet. The globe is warming, according to scientists. This pattern cannot be explained only by natural climate fluctuation. Human activities, particularly the combustion of coal and oil, have warmed the planet by significantly increasing the quantities of heat-trapping gases in the atmosphere. There is growing acceptance that even very ambitious greenhouse gas mitigation measures that go beyond current international climate agreements will not be effective enough to halt the increase in atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations in the medium term, and that adaptation measures are just as important as mitigation measures. Climate change will have a greater impact on developing countries than on industrialized countries, not least because of their

relatively low adaptive capacities (IPCC, 2003). The agricultural sector will be among the most vulnerable in these countries, putting rural populations at risk. The global climate change has heightened interest and concern in the green economy. According to the Planning Commission's "Greening India" study, agro forestry is the only way to achieve 33% forest cover. Land management operations play an important role in the context of global change and sustainable development through mitigating climate change. Forests, on the other hand, are affected by climate change, and their contribution to mitigation efforts may be influenced by stressors caused by it. Agro forestry has the potential to make a large contribution to a low-cost global mitigation strategy that also includes adaptation and sustainable development. However, only a small percentage of this potential is currently being utilized. Carbon mitigation potentials through minimizing deforestation, forest management, Afforestation, and agro-forestry vary substantially depending on activity, region, and system. Globally, millions of households depend on goods and services provided by forests. This underlines the importance of assessing forest sector activities aimed at mitigating climate change in the broader context of sustainable

development and community impact. Forestry mitigation activities can be designed to be compatible with adapting to climate change, maintaining biodiversity, and promoting sustainable development. There is robust evidence that agro forestry systems have the potential for improving water use efficiency by reducing the unproductive components of the water balance. A new land- use options that increase livelihood security and reduce vulnerability to climate and environmental change are necessary. Traditional resource management adaptations such as agro forestry systems may potentially provide options for improvement in livelihoods.

Agro forestry as a tool for climate change and livelihoods

Agro forestry has enormous potential to prevent climate change, safeguard people and livelihoods, and lay the groundwork for more sustainable economic and social growth. Sustainable forest management provides a framework for international and national planning on how to best deal with this ever-changing environment. Adaptation strategies that promote sustainable management and community-based practices have the potential to not only protect land and people from some of the negative effects of rising global temperatures, but also to provide opportunities for greater, more sustainable rural development and poverty alleviation through income generation and job creation. Farmers can use these systems to diversify their produce, minimize farm risk, contribute to food security, and create much-needed money. They also address commercial timber needs while improving environmental circumstances. In rural places, biomass from trees and plants provides 70-80% of the energy. A substantial amount of wood is currently being generated outside of normal forest lands as a result of agro forestry activities. Indigenous tree species (*Acacia nilotica*, *Azadirachta indica*, *Melia azadirachta*, *Leucaena leucocephala*, *Casuarina equisetifolia* etc.) have high fuel wood potential. Species such as *C. equisetifolia*, *Prosopis juliflora*, *Leucaena leucocephala* and *Calliandra calothyrsus* have become prominent due to their potential for providing wood energy at the highest efficiency, shorter rotation and also their high adaptability to diverse habitats and climates. In India the energy demand is expected to grow at 4.8%. Further, increasing gap between demand and domestically produced petroleum the dependence on import of oil will increase in the near future (Anitha & SathyaPriya 2012).

Carbon stock in different agro forestry system

Climate change, caused by global warming, is a phenomenon partly resulting from abundance of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere. It is the most pressing environmental problem of the world. It persists and cannot be stopped, although it can be reduced. Agro forestry systems as a land use can help to lower carbon dioxide levels in the atmosphere. According to recent forecasts, the global area under agro forestry will grow significantly in the near future. This will undoubtedly have a

significant impact on the flux and long-term storage of C in the terrestrial biosphere (Dixon, 1995). Smith et al., 1993; Dixon et al., 1994) estimate that agro-ecosystems contain around 12% of the world's terrestrial Carbon. However, negative changes in the global climate (rising temperatures, higher frequency of droughts and floods) are often the cost consequential processes associated. Land-use management such as agro forestry systems or the combination of production of trees with agricultural crops plays a very important role in climate change mitigation by absorbing excess carbon dioxide which is used in the process of photosynthesis by the trees. Carbon is stored in tree biomass and in soil that helps protect natural carbon sinks through the improvement of land productivity and the provision of forest products on agricultural lands (Albrecht & Kandji 2003). Because of secondary environmental benefits such as food security, secured land tenure, increasing farm income, restoring and maintaining above-ground and below- ground biodiversity, maintaining watershed hydrology, and soil conservation, agro forestry systems are a better climate change mitigation option than oceanic and other terrestrial options (Pandey, 2002) .

Soil fertility improvement through agro forestry

Carbon sequestration in degraded agricultural soils in underdeveloped countries is increasingly being touted as a potential technique to reduce atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations (Petra Tschakert, 2004). According to the research, agro forestry systems that promote the use of legumes as fertilizer or shade trees may increase N₂O emissions when compared to unfertilized systems. Mondini et al. investigated the impact of various variables on GHG production and soil C sink capacity by monitoring CO₂ and N₂O fluxes from amended soils under laboratory conditions and reported that the C conservation efficiency of organic residues, calculated by the combined loss during composting and after land application, was higher for less transformed organic materials. Lal R. (2005). Ram Newaj et al. (2008) discovered that when *Albizia procera* was grown in agri-silviculture with different pruning regimes, the organic carbon of the soil increased by 13-16% from their initial values, which was 5 to 6 times higher than growing a single tree or crop. Soil fertility can also be restored by relocating cultivated areas and planting suitable species. For example, a field experiment to evaluate N₂ fixation efficiency found that planting stem-cuttings and flooding resulted in increased biological N₂ fixation by *Sesbania rostrata* and *S. cannabina*, 307 and 209 kg N ha⁻¹, respectively. *S. rostrata* can thus be utilized as a green manure by planting stem-cuttings in flooded conditions (Patel et al., 1996) .

Livelihood security by adoption of agro forestry

Agro forestry provides other benefits in addition to those connected to climate change. Forests provide economic, social, cultural, and environmental services, accounting for around 30% of the world's land mass. Adoption of a diverse agricultural production system with complementary economic

and/or ecological components involving crops, trees, livestock, and post-harvest processing improves the economy. Over one billion people rely on agro forestry and consume its resources. 70 million indigenous peoples live in distant places and rely heavily on agricultural resources for a living. Increasing market prospects for smallholders, especially in niche markets and high-value goods, is important to the success of agro forestry technologies (Russell and Franzel, 2004). Agro forestry is not only a means of increasing one's own income, but it also provides a unique opportunity for people to collect or grow non-timber forest products as part of their cultural and family traditions. Many high-value crops can be planted or developed within the protection of the present agricultural system. It is a method of generating short-term income from tree resources while high-quality trees are developed for wood products. Traditional agro forestry has gradually given way to more simple production approaches. Over the last few decades, agro forestry has been modernized and helping people a lot.

Agro forestry and microclimate development

In general, open area receives more sunshine than covered land, and temperature follows similar trends. Many studies have shown that agro forestry systems outperform solitary cropping systems in locations where there is a lack of ground water or where rain fall is low. Agro forestry is a tool that benefits both shade-loving and low-temperature crops. The role of agro forestry in the creation of microclimates has been investigated using energy and water balance analyses. Brenner, 1996). Analysis by Ong et al. (1991) suggested that atmospheric interactions in hedgerow cropping in the semiarid tropics were positive but were of minor importance compared with below-ground, often competitive, interactions. Rao et al. (1997) concluded that the net positive effects of trees on crops were more likely in sequential rather than simultaneous agro forestry systems, as below-ground competition dominated tree-crop interactions for major food crops. Compared to open-field agriculture, all land-use systems with trees have reduced daily amplitude of air temperature, with a gradual decrease of the amplitude within the top layers of the soil. The temperature is restricted to a few multiples of tree height, depending on the solar elevation (Kohli and Saini, 2003). Similarly Hairiah et al. (2006) compared the effects of shading on the litter layer soil temperature and its spatial variability in open- and closed-canopy.

Conclusion

Agro forestry systems are a land management method that, among other things, may improve the resilience of agro-ecosystems to the effects of climate change. This review provided an overview of a few agro forestry systems and their carbon sequestration potential. Agro forestry has the potential to create synergies between efforts to mitigate climate change and initiatives to assist vulnerable populations in adapting to its negative repercussions. Agro forestry has the potential to

improve the resilience of tropical farming systems. Agro forestry continues to play an important role in strengthening the agriculture sector's competitiveness. The dominance of many traditional agro forestry systems in India provides an opportunity worth examining for carbon sequestration, improved livelihoods, biodiversity protection, soil fertility improvements, and rural employment. As a result, a systematic agro forestry system with adequate management techniques will be more beneficial to climate change mitigation and will provide more opportunities for sustainable livelihood. Agro forestry continues to play an important role in strengthening the agriculture sector's competitiveness.

References

- Albrecht, A., & Kandji, S. T. (2003). Carbon sequestration in tropical agroforestry systems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 99(1-3), 15-27.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-8809\(03\)00138-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0167-8809(03)00138-5)
- Benefits of Agro Forestry Land Use in Nsukka Local Government Area, Enugu State, Nigeria. (2018). *American International Journal of Agricultural Studies*, 1-15.
<https://doi.org/10.46545/aijas.v1i1.1>
- Brenner, L. A., Koehler, D. J., Liberman, V., & Tversky, A. (1996). Overconfidence in Probability and Frequency Judgments: A Critical Examination. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 65(3), 212-219.
<https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.1996.0021>
- Brown, S., Hall, C. A. S., Knabe, W., Raich, J., Trexler, M. C., & Woome, P. (1993). Tropical forests: Their past, present, and potential future role in the terrestrial carbon budget. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 70(1-4), 71-94.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01104989>
- Caldwell, M. M., Dawson, T. E., & Richards, J. H. (1998). Hydraulic lift: consequences of water efflux from the roots of plants. *Oecologia*, 113(2), 151-161.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050363>
- Dawson, T. E. (1993). Hydraulic lift and water use by plants: implications for water balance, performance and plant-plant interactions. *Oecologia*, 95(4), 565-574.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00317442>
- DHYANI, S. K., RAM, A., & DEV, I. (2016). Potential of agroforestry systems in carbon sequestration in India. *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 86(9).
<https://doi.org/10.56093/ijas.v86i9.61348>
- Dhyani, S., Ajit, Handa, A., Jabeen, N., & Uma. (2011). Agroforestry: An Integrated system for conservation of natural resources in Northern India. *Indian Journal of Forestry*, 34(2), 121-130.
<https://doi.org/10.54207/bsmps1000-2011-949428>
- Dixon, R. K. (1995). Agroforestry systems: sources of sinks of greenhouse gases? *Agroforestry Systems*, 31(2), 99-116.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00711719>
- Dixon, R. K., Solomon, A. M., Brown, S., Houghton, R. A., Trexler, M. C., & Wisniewski, J. (1994). Carbon Pools and Flux of Global Forest Ecosystems. *Science*, 263(5144), 185-190.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.263.5144.185>
- FAO. 2010. Breeding strategies for sustainable management of animal genetic resources. FAO Animal Production and Health Guidelines. No. 3. Rome, pp 155. ISBN 978-92-5-106391-0. Available at: <http://www.fao.org/docrep/012/i1103e/i1103e00.htm> (English version); <http://www.fao.org/docrep/012/i1103s/i1103s.pdf> (Spanish version). (2010). *Animal Genetic Resources/Ressources Génétiques Animales/Recursos Genéticos Animales*, 47, 138-139.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/s2078633610001086>
- García-Barrios, L., & Ong, C. (2004). Ecological interactions, management lessons and design tools in tropical agroforestry systems. *Agroforestry Systems*, 61-62(1-3), 221-236.
<https://doi.org/10.1023/b:agfo.0000029001.81701.f0>
- Hairiah, K., Sulistyani, H., Suprayogo, D., Widiyanto, Purnomosidhi, P., Widodo, R. H., & Van Noordwijk, M. (2006). Litter layer residence time

- in forest and coffee agroforestry systems in Sumberjaya, West Lampung. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 224(1–2), 45–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2005.12.007>
- Hardesty, L. H. (1990). International symposium on planning for agroforestry. *Land Use Policy*, 7(1), 87–89. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-8377\(90\)90059-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-8377(90)90059-8)
- Hawkins, H. J., Hettasch, H., West, A. G., & Cramer, M. D. (2009). Hydraulic redistribution by Protea “Sylvia” (Proteaceae) facilitates soil water replenishment and water acquisition by an understorey grass and shrub. *Functional Plant Biology*, 36(8), 752. <https://doi.org/10.1071/fp09046>
- Horton, J. L., & Hart, S. C. (1998). Hydraulic lift: a potentially important ecosystem process. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 13(6), 232–235. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-5347\(98\)01328-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-5347(98)01328-7)
- K.A. SINGH, & ARVIND K. RAI. (2001). Studies on biomass production, partitioning and allometry of different bamboo (Bamboo spp.) plant species grown in bamboosetum in Arunachal Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 57(3), 284–290. <https://doi.org/10.59797/ija.v57i3.4634>
- Karlik, J. F., & Chojnacky, D. C. (2014). Biomass and carbon data from blue oaks in a California oak savanna. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 62, 228–232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2013.11.018>
- KUMAR, A., SINHA, A. K., & SINGH, D. (2003). STUDIES OF EUCALYPTUS PLANTATIONS UNDER THE FARM FORESTRY AND AGROFORESTRY SYSTEMS OF U.P. IN NORTHERN INDIA. *Forests, Trees and Livelihoods*, 13(4), 313–330. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14728028.2003.9752468>
- Lal, R. (2005). Forest soils and carbon sequestration. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 220(1–3), 242–258. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2005.08.015>
- Ong, C., Corlett, J., Singh, R., & Black, C. (1991). Above and below ground interactions in agroforestry systems. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 45(1–4), 45–57. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1127\(91\)90205-a](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1127(91)90205-a)
- Ong, C., Corlett, J., Singh, R., & Black, C. (1991). Above and below ground interactions in agroforestry systems. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 45(1–4), 45–57. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1127\(91\)90205-a](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-1127(91)90205-a)
- P, K., NJ, B., S, S., S, C., A, R., & SK, B. (2023). Multivariate Statistical Analysis for Water Quality Variation in Baraila Lake, Bihar, India. *Austin Environmental Sciences*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.26420/austinenvirosci.2023.1090>
- Pandey, D. (2002). Carbon sequestration in agroforestry systems. *Climate Policy*, 2(4), 367–377. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1469-3062\(02\)00025-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1469-3062(02)00025-6)
- Patel, L. B., Sidhu, B. S., & Beri, V. (1996). Symbiotic efficiency of Sesbania rostrata and S. cannabina as affected by agronomic practices. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 21(3), 149–151. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00335926>
- Petrakis, S., Seyfferth, A., Kan, J., Inamdar, S., & Vargas, R. (2017). Influence of experimental extreme water pulses on greenhouse gas emissions from soils. *Biogeochemistry*, 133(2), 147–164. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-017-0320-2>
- Pooja, P. (2022). Impact of COVID-19 and Climate Change on Indian Agriculture. *Emerging Trends in Climate Change*, 1(1), 29–36. <https://doi.org/10.18782/2583-4770.104>
- Richards, J. H., & Caldwell, M. M. (1987). Hydraulic lift: Substantial nocturnal water transport between soil layers by Artemisia tridentata roots. *Oecologia*, 73(4), 486–489. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00379405>
- Russell, D., & Franzel, S. (2004). Trees of prosperity: Agroforestry, markets and the African smallholder. *Agroforestry Systems*, 61–62(1–3), 345–355. <https://doi.org/10.1023/b:agfo.0000029009.53337.33>
- Singh, K., Ram, M., & Kumar, A. (2010). FORTY YEARS OF PAPAYA RESEARCH AT PUSA, BIHAR, INDIA. *Acta Horticulturae*, (851), 81–88. <https://doi.org/10.17660/actahortic.2010.851.9>
- Smith, C. A., Haynes, K. N., Lazarus, R. S., & Pope, L. K. (1993). In search of the “hot” cognitions: Attributions, appraisals, and their relation to emotion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 65(5), 916–929. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.65.5.916>
- Tschakert, P. (2004). The costs of soil carbon sequestration: an economic analysis for small-scale farming systems in Senegal. *Agricultural Systems*, 81(3), 227–253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2003.11.004>

How to cite this article:

Ahmad, A., Ali, M. N., Mukul, and Shroti, S. K. (2021). Livelihood security in climate change by Agro forestry. *Chemical and Environmental Science Archives*, Vol. 1 (1), 27-30

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

